### 

2nd District- Farmington DAVIS COUNTY, STATE OF UTAH

### ALMA RODRIGUEZ vs. TARGET CORPORATION

#### CASE NUMBER 210700936 Premises Liability

### CURRENT ASSIGNED JUDGE

MICHAEL EDWARDS

#### PARTIES

Plaintiff - ALMA RODRIGUEZ
Represented by: LENA DAGGS
Represented by: NATHAN MORRIS

Defendant - TARGET CORPORATION

Guardian - ALMA RODRIGUEZ
Represented by: LENA DAGGS
Represented by: NATHAN MORRIS

#### ACCOUNT SUMMARY

Total Revenue Amount Due: 625.00

Amount Paid: 625.00
Amount Credit: 0.00

Balance: 0.00

REVENUE DETAIL - TYPE: COMPLAINT - NO AMT S

Original Amount Due: 375.00
Amended Amount Due: 375.00

Amount Paid: 375.00

Amount Credit: 0.00

Balance: 0.00

REVENUE DETAIL - TYPE: JURY DEMAND - CIVIL

Original Amount Due: 250.00

Amended Amount Due: 250.00

Amount Paid: 250.00 Amount Credit: 0.00

Balance: 0.00

### CASE NOTE

#### PROCEEDINGS

12-14-2021 Filed: Complaint and Jury Demand (Tier 3)

12-14-2021 Case filed by efiler

12-14-2021 Fee Account created Total Due: 375.00

12-14-2021 Fee Account created

12-14-2021 Fee Account created Total Due: 250.00

12-14-2021 Fee Account created

12-14-2021 COMPLAINT - NO AMT S 375.00

12-14-2021 JURY DEMAND - CIVIL 250.00 12-14-2021 Judge MICHAEL EDWARDS assigned.

12-14-2021 Filed: Return of Electronic Notification

12-20-2021 Filed return: Summons on Return Target Corporation upon DANI

SNOW, AUTHORIZED for

Party Served: TARGET CORPORATION

Service Type: Personal

Service Date: December 16, 2021

Garnishee:

12-20-2021 Filed: Return of Electronic Notification

If you do not respond to this document within applicable time limits, judgment could be entered against you as requested.

Nathan S. Morris (#9431) Lena Daggs (#13666) EISENBERG CUTT KENDELL & OLSON 900 Parkside Tower 215 South State Street Salt Lake City, Utah 84111 Telephone: (801) 366-9100

Email: <u>ldaggs@eckolaw.com</u> nmorris@eckolaw.com

Attorneys for Plaintiff

# IN THE SECOND DISTRICT COURT IN AND OF DAVIS COUNTY, STATE OF UTAH

ALMA RODRIGUEZ, personally and as guardian of S.R., a minor,

Plaintiff,

VS

TARGET CORPORATION,

Defendant.

COMPLAINT AND JURY DEMAND (TIER THREE)

Plaintiff, by and through counsel, hereby complains against the above-captioned Defendant as follows:

### PARTIES, JURISDICTION, & VENUE

- 1. Plaintiff and S.R. reside in Davis County, State of Utah. S.R. is a minor. S.R.'s date of birth is xx/xx/2005.
- Defendant Target Corporation ("Target") maintains a place of business in Davis
   County, State of Utah, but has its headquarters in Minnesota.
  - 3. The incident giving rise to this Complaint took place in Davis County, Utah.

- 4. This Court has jurisdiction in this action pursuant to Utah Code § 78A-5-102 and Utah Code § 63G-7-501.
  - 5. Venue is proper before this Court pursuant to Utah Code § 78B-3-307.

### **GENERAL ALLEGATIONS**

- 6. At all times pertinent, Defendant owned and operated a Target store in Centerville, Utah ("Target").
  - 7. On June 18, 2019, S.R., then 13 years old, had been shopping at Target.
  - 8. S.R. left the store after making her purchase and was riding her bicycle.
  - 9. S.R. rode her bicycle behind Target by way of attempting to leave.
  - 10. She approached an open gate behind Target.
- 11. It appeared to S.R. that the pathway was level and provided a straight pathway to ride through.
- 12. S.R. rode her bicycle past the gate, and suddenly without warning, went over an approximate 5-foot drop into Target's back loading dock area.
  - 13. S.R. suffered serious injuries as a result of her fall.
- 14. S.R. suffered injuries to her neck, back, shoulders, right arm, right knee, face and head.

### FIRST CLAIM FOR RELIEF (Negligence)

- 15. Plaintiff incorporates all preceding paragraphs herein and further alleges the following:
- 16. Defendant owed Plaintiff and S.R. a duty to exercise reasonable care with respect to the premises.

- 17. Defendant breached its duties in one or more of the following ways:
  - a. Failing to maintain the premises in a safe condition;
  - b. Failing to adequately inspect the premises;
  - c. Leaving the loading gate open on the premises;
  - d. Failing to provide warning of the unsafe condition of the premises, namely the fivefoot drop near the loading dock; and
  - e. Any other acts and/or omissions that may later be discovered.
- 18. Defendant's breaches were a direct and proximate cause of Plaintiff's and S.R.'s injuries.

### <u>SECOND CLAIM FOR RELIEF</u> (Premises Liability and Non-Delegable Duty)

- 19. Plaintiff incorporates all preceding paragraphs herein and further alleges the following:
- 20. Defendant was the owner and possessor of the premises, Target, and therefore owed non-delegable duties to make the premises safe and breached those duties to make the premises reasonably safe as set forth above.
  - 21. S.R. was an invitee in Target.
- 22. Defendant knew of or by the exercise of reasonable care would have discovered the dangerous condition of the premises.
- 23. Defendant should have realized that the dangerous condition involved an unreasonable risk of harm to S.R.
- 24. Defendant should have expected that S.R. would not discover or realize the dangerous condition of the premises or would fail to protect herself against the condition.

- 25. Defendant failed to exercise reasonable care to protect or warn S.R. from the condition.
- 26. Defendant's failures were a direct and proximate cause of S.R.'s injuries and damages.

## THIRD CLAIM FOR RELIEF (Vicarious Liability)

- 27. Plaintiff incorporates all preceding paragraphs herein and further alleges the following:
- 28. At all time pertinent, Defendant acted through its employees, owners, and officers while in the course and scope of their employment for Defendant.
- 29. Under the theory of respondent superior, Defendant is vicariously liable for the conduct of its employees, owners and officers.

### **DAMAGES**

- 30. Plaintiff incorporates by reference all preceding paragraphs herein and further alleges the following:
- 31. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's wrongful acts, omissions, and negligence, S.R. incurred past and future medical expenses in an amount to be proven at trial.
- 32. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's wrongful acts, omissions and negligence, S.R. experienced and will experience physical, mental, and emotional pain and suffering; loss of enjoyment of life; impairment; disability; disfigurement; scarring; and other non-economic damages in an amount to be proven at trial.

33. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's wrongful acts, omissions, and

negligence, S.R. has suffered and will suffer a loss of wages, earning capacity, household services

and other economic damages in amounts to be proven at trial.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands judgment against Defendant in an amount to be

determined by the trier of fact for the following damages, which will be sufficient to satisfy the

Tier Three requirements of the Utah Rules of Civil Procedure:

a. For economic damages in an amount to be determined at trial;

b. For non-economic damages in an amount to be determined at trial;

c. For costs, interests, and attorney fees to the extent allowed by law; and

d. For such further relief as the Court deems appropriate.

**JURY DEMAND** 

Plaintiff demands a jury trial of all issues that may be tried of right by a jury.

DATED this 14th day of December, 2021.

EISENBERG CUTT KENDELL & OLSON

/s/ Nathan Morris

Nathan Morris Lena Daggs

Attorney for Plaintiff

5

SERVED RELATION

ATTORNEY SERVICES #P10

WASAICH ATTORNEY SERVICE

800-970-8220

Nathan S. Morris (#9431)
Lena Daggs (#13666)
EISENBERG CUTT KENDELL & OLSON
900 Parkside Tower
215 South State Street
Salt Lake City, Utah 84111
Telephone: (801) 366-9100

Email: <u>ldaggs@eckolaw.com</u> <u>nmorris@eckolaw.com</u>

Attorneys for Plaintiff

# IN THE SECOND DISTRICT COURT IN AND OF DAVIS COUNTY, STATE OF UTAH

ALMA RODRIGUEZ, personally and as guardian of S.R., a minor,

Plaintiff,

VS

TARGET CORPORATION,

Defendant.

**SUMMONS** 

Case No. 210700936

Judge: Michael Edwards

THE STATE OF UTAH TO THE BELOW NAMED DEFENDANT:

TARGET CORPORATION

Registered Agent: CT Corporation System

1108 E. South Union Avenue

Midvale, Utah 84047

You are hereby summoned and required to file an answer in writing to the attached Complaint with the Clerk of the above-entitled Court at Court Clerk, Second District Court, 800 West State Street, Farmington, Utah 84025, and to serve upon or mail to Eisenberg, Cutt,

Kendell & Olson, Plaintiff's attorney, 900 Parkside Tower, 215 South State Street, Salt Lake

City, UT 84111, a copy of said answer within 21 days after service of this Summons upon you.

If you fail to do so, judgment by default will be taken against you for the relief demanded in said Complaint, which has been filed with the Clerk of said Court and a copy of which is hereby annexed and herewith served upon you.

DATED this 14th day of December, 2021.

EISENBERG, CUTT, KENDELL & OLSON

/s/ Jacquelynn D. Carmichael

Jacquelynn D. Carmichael Attorneys for Plaintiff